

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,375	03/29/2004	Dae-sik Kim	Q74903	2808
23373 7590 05/02/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			VU, PHU	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
				· <u>-</u>
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		3//
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/811,375	KIM ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phu Vu `	2871
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommendation of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ate, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/1 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matt	•
Disposition of Claims		·
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 and 11-20 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 and 11-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obj e drawing(s) be held in abeyar ection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
	•	
Attachment(s)	•	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 2/14/2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-8, 11-14, and 20 under 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Janssen 6619802.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 –8 and 11-14 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ouchi et al. US Patent No. 6493149 in view of Janssen 6619802.

Regarding claims 1, and 11-14, Ouchi discloses an image display system comprising: an illumination system, comprising: a light source (fig. 1 element1), a color separator which separates an incident light beam according to color (fig. 1 elements 7a-7c); a light valve (fig. 1 element 12), which processes color beams, into which the light beam emitted from the illumination system is separated and which are scrolled, according to an input image signal, and which forms a color image; a polarization beam splitter (fig. 1 element 10), which transmits or reflects incident light beams according to

polarization so that a light beam received from the illumination system advances toward the light valve and so that a light beam reflected by the light valve advances toward a projection lens unit; and at least one polarizing element (fig. 1 element 9a), which is installed on at least one of a path of light traveling from the light source toward the polarization beam splitter and a path of light that is reflected by the light valve and travels toward the projection lens unit via the polarization beam splitter and which transmits only a light beam with a specific polarization.

Page 3

Ouchi fails to disclose a scrolling unit comprising at least one lens cell, which converts a rotation of the lens cell into a rectilinear motion of an area of the lens cell through which light passes. Ouchi teaches a polygonal mirror type scanning function.

Janssen teaches a scrolling unit is necessary to modulate three colors while only using a single light valve (see column 1 lines 22-35). Janssen teaches a spirally formed disk (see fig. 4B) scrolling unit comprises multiple lens cells of cylindrical type that rotates such that the lens array moves closer to or away from the rotation center to provide a scrolling function that scrolls light across a valve without relying on polarization, DMDs or a mirror array thereby enabling low cost (1 lines 45-52). Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply a spiral disk-scrolling unit with multiple cylindrical lens cells that move rectilinearly from the rotation center to enable a projector with 1-panel design at low cost.

Regarding claim 2, Ouchi teaches display system of claim 1, wherein the light valve is a reflective liquid crystal display (see claim 9).

Application/Control Number: 10/811,375

Art Unit: 2871

Regarding claim 3, Ouchi teaches the image display system of claim 1, wherein the at least one polarizing element is a non-absorption polarizing element (element 10).

Regarding claim 4, Ouchi teaches the image display system of claim 3, wherein the at least one polarizing element is a polarization beam splitter (fig. 1 element 4).

Regarding claims 5 and 6, Ouchi teaches at least one polarizer element (fig. 1 element 9a) between the polarization beam splitter and the illumination system.

Regarding claim 7, Ouchi teaches the image display system of claim 1, wherein the polarizing element is a polarizer (fig. 1 element 9a) installed in the front of the polarization beam splitter and the light source.

Regarding claim 8, Ouchi teaches the illumination system further comprising a polarization conversion system, which converts a light beam emitted from the light source into a light beam with a single linear polarization (see fig. 1 elements 3, 4 and 4a).

Regarding claim 20, Ouchi teaches the color separator separates the light beam emitted from the light source into a plurality of color beams by selectively reflecting light with a specific wavelength from the light beam emitted by the light source (see fig. 1 elements 7a-7c).

Claims 15 and 16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ouchi in view of Janssen 6619802 and further in view of Bierhuzen et al US Patent No. 6839095.

Ouchi and Janssen disclose all the limitations of claims 15 and 16 except first and second fly-eye lenses, installed between the scrolling unit and the light valve, each

Art Unit: 2871

comprising a plurality of lens cells corresponding to the lens cell of the scrolling unit so that light beams passed through the scrolling unit are of one to one correspondence and a relay lens, installed between the second fly-eye lens and the light valve, which transmits light beams passed through the second fly-eye lens so that light beams of different colors are focused on different locations on the light valve. Bierhuizen discloses first (cov. fig. 122) and second fly-eye lenses (cov. fig. element 120), installed between the scrolling unit and the light valve, each comprising a plurality of lens cells corresponding to the lens cell of the scrolling unit so that light beams passed through the scrolling unit are of one to one correspondence and a relay lens (cov. fig. element 128), installed between the second fly-eye lens and the light valve, which transmits light beams passed through the second fly-eye lens so that light beams of different colors are focused on different locations on the light valve to increase the light transmission efficiency and to focus the light through the polarizing beam splitter (see column 4 lines 23-30). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to add two fly-eye lenses and a relay lens to increase the increase light transmission efficiency and focus light toward the polarization beam splitter.

Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ouchi in view of Janssen in view of Bierhuzen and further in view of Ito et al. US Publication No. 2002/0180933.

Regarding claims 17-18, Ouchi and Janssen discloses a plurality of lenses disposed in front of and behind the scrolling unit so as to control the width of a light beam incident upon the scrolling unit, however they are not cylindrical (see fig. 1

Art Unit: 2871

elements 6 and 5c). Ito teaches cylindrical lenses that can be used as concave or convex lenses (see 0034). Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a cylindrical lens in order to gain functionality as a concave or convex lens.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ouchi in view Janssen in view of Shahzad US Publication No. 2002/1911154.

Ouchi and Janssen disclose discloses all the limitations of claim 19, except color separator comprising a plurality of reflective dichroic filters to separate a light beam emitted from the light source according to wavelength. Shahzad discloses dichroic filters to separate unpolarized light into colored beams ([0006] and [0016]) and used in conjuction with a prepolarizer can be used to increase extinction ratio to output light of the desired polarization state ([0017]). Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use dichroic filters to separate unpolarized light by wavelength to increase extinction ratio to output more light of the desired polarization state.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/811,375

Art Unit: 2871

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Phu Vu whose telephone number is (571)-272-1562.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571)-272-1787. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phu Vu Examiner AU 2871

AMBILLATION EN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7